

CHUGACH ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION, INC.  
Anchorage, Alaska

November 11, 2025

ADDENDUM #2

C2430003 – Indian Substation to Bird Point Fiber Tie-In  
E2414297 – Bird Point Repeater FO (Fiber Optic) Make Ready

The following changes and additions have been made to the contract documents. Incorporate these changes and additions in your bid, sign the acknowledgement, and attach a signed copy of this addendum to your bid when submitted.

1. Q&A (attached).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The undersigned bidder hereby certifies that the revisions herein set forth have been incorporated in this bid and form a part of the contract documents.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Bidder

\_\_\_\_\_  
Address

\_\_\_\_\_  
Title

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**APPROVED FOR DISTRIBUTION:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Darvin Thornton, Manager, Distribution & Construction

**Chugach Electric Association, Inc**  
**C2430003 – Indian Substation to Bird Point Fiber Tie-In**  
**E2414297 – Bird Point Repeater FO Make Ready Bid Questions and Responses and**  
**Exceptions**

1. Is ACS responsible for providing all materials? Some items appear to be missing from the Bill of Materials (BOM).
  - a. The ACS provided materials list was provided. Any and all materials needed outside of that list are to be the responsibility of the Awardee.
2. Will ACS be performing their own splicing?
  - a. Include all of ACS's splices as a line item. Ensure splicing labor, materials, and test results are properly labeled.
3. Are there any specific testing requirements for ACS?
  - a. No testing requirements for ACS.
4. At structure 22-6, ACS is not currently attached at either pole. According to the drawings, should it be reattached to the north structure of 22-6?
  - a. ACS drawing sheets 2 & 3 indicate their scope of work to be done at Structure 22-6. ACS was roped off to the north pole of the structure when last field reviewed, 11/6/25.
5. The fiber connection between Indian and Girdwood (Chugach) is not yet complete at the Girdwood substation. As a result, please confirm that full end-to-end testing and OLTS testing cannot be performed.
  - a. Please refer to bid documentation. "Perform end-to-end OTDR and light-level testing for constructed work, as well as between Indian Substation and Chugach structure 22-8; repair and retest any deficiencies at no additional cost for the fiber installed only. Submit OTDR MOP and equipment in proposal."
6. Are there any Build America, Buy America (BABA) requirements for this project?
  - a. There is no BABA requirements for this project.
7. According to AFL the part number given (AC0489C521CLO no substitute) for the ADSS is an obsolete part number. To order ADSS, the manufacturer requires that the max span length and loading conditions specific to the job be provided before a quote can be produced. Can CEA provide this information, or supply the fiber cable?
  - a. Span lengths and loading conditions are as shown or can be derived from the design documents. If there are specific spans you believe cannot be reasonably determined from the bid package, identify those locations in an RFI and CEA will provide clarification. At this time CEA expects the Awardee to furnish the ADSS cable.
8. The Corning part# 048EA-T4E01A20 (no substitute) has a 47-to-50-week lead time 5000' foot minimum order for manufacturing. How would CEA like to proceed?
  - a. It is the bidder's responsibility to confirm current lead times with suppliers. If you determine that the specified material cannot be procured in time to meet the project schedule, note this in your bid as a clarification or exception and provide supporting documentation. CEA will evaluate any proposed impacts or equivalent substitutions in accordance with the contract documents.

9. Any clarifications or exceptions that rely on questions being answered will be supplied at the time of the bid.
  - a. Clarifications or exceptions shall be submitted with the bid in accordance with the Instructions to Bidders. Any exceptions that are contingent on pending RFIs must clearly reference the applicable RFI.
10. If there is insufficient frost (minimum 2 feet) and snow coverage (minimum 12 inches) to support equipment travel, would a construction delay be granted?
  - a. No, Awardee will need to properly manager the project in a way that utilizes the weather conditions as they are.
11. If ACS withdraws from their portion of the work, the right to renegotiate pricing for the remaining scope would be required.
  - a. No, there will not be a renegotiation if ACS drops from the project.
12. The fiber splice / slack loop currently shown at structure 22-8 is actually located at structure 22-9. Will the design be revised to reach 22-9, or will the splice location be moved?
  - a. Under a separate project, the fiber storage loop was to have been installed on Structure 22-8. It was incorrectly installed on Structure 23-1 subsequent to this design being issued. Use the plans as designed, and the storage loop will be correctly installed under the other project.
13. If the splice remains at 22-9, access is limited. Will rig mats or an access route be provided to reach the location?
  - a. No, Awardee will need to ensure they have the experience, techniques, and plan to reach all locations noted on the design provided.
14. What is the width of the ROW at BLM Lot 2? Is the 5-foot measurement the ROW width or the offset from the adjacent lot? If offset, how wide can the ROW be cleared for access?
  - a. Clearing and work limits must remain within the existing right of way and comply with BLM and other applicable permitting requirements. If you believe a specific drawing callout is unclear, please reference the exact sheet and stationing in an RFI and CEA will provide a clarification.
15. Can Duralite handholes be substituted for Quazite, provided they meet the same tier rating and size specifications?
  - a. No.
16. Clearing will be required along Konikson Road and other ROWs for infrastructure installation. What are the clearing limits and restrictions?
  - a. Awardee will need to do their own research if it's felt the design is lacking. Reduced clearing techniques need to be applied. This is not a "clear-cut" project.
17. The detail shows Shurlock couplings at the transition from HDPE to rigid conduit. Can Shurlock also be used for HDPE-to-HDPE splices instead of butt fusion?
  - a. No.
18. Regarding splicing of AFL ADSS and Corning underground fiber: Will the ADSS be continuous from 22-6 to BCC Module W and from Module N to 22-8, or will there be splices at WL 17 and WL 26 (as shown on page ES202) to transition to underground fiber?

- a. Splice locations and cable continuity are as shown in the design documents, including Sheet ES202. Bidders shall base their bids on the splice and transition locations indicated on the issued drawings.
- 19. If permits or material delays postpone the project start date, will liquidated damages be waived until a revised start date?
  - a. Liquidated damages provisions in the contract remain in effect. Time extensions will be considered only in accordance with the contract for excusable delays that are properly documented and approved by CEA. Delays related to the Awardee's procurement choices or suppliers will not be grounds for waiving liquidated damages.
- 20. For fiber testing: It is assumed that existing fiber is in good condition and will pass all tests. Testing requirements will apply only to new work. Any repairs to existing fiber will incur additional costs.
  - a. In the scenario described above CEA will have the Awardee track time and materials. CEA will then seek financial recovery from the warranty holder of the previously installed Fiber Optic Cable.
- 21. Have the residents and landowners affected by this project been notified that this project will be taking place?
  - a. Public notifications happen post award. ACS has their internal process and CEA has theirs.
- 22. Being that Christmas and New Years are in the middle of the planning and permitting phase of this project, and CEA has warned that the permitting could take a long time, will CEA be amenable to extending the required completion date?
  - a. CEA recognizes that permitting activities and the holiday period may affect scheduling and coordination. At this time, the required completion date remains as stated in the contract documents. If permitting activities under the responsibility of CEA or ACS result in a documented impact to the critical path schedule, CEA will review the circumstances in good faith and work with the Awardee through the contract change process to address any necessary schedule adjustments.
- 23. Do CEA or ACS know of any current code violations involving any of the facilities involved in this project?
  - a. The design provided meets code requirements.